

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In follow-up to the telephone conference with Examiner on March 7, 2005, Claims 1 – 10, 12, 13, 15, 17 – 20 have been canceled. Claims 11, 14, 16, 21 - 23 are now in the case.

The drawings were previously objected to for not showing all structure in the claims. The original drawings now show all structure in the remaining claims.

In the Office Action Summary dated December 13, 2004, Examiner advises Claims 15, 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. With this amendment, independent Claim 11 has been amended to include the limitations of Claim 15, and Claim 16 has been amended to be dependent upon Claim 11. In the telephone conference, Examiner agreed these claims are now allowable with the said amendments.

In the Office Action, Examiner further advises Claims 21 – 23 are allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection. With this amendment, independent Claim 21 has been amended to overcome Examiner's objection. As agreed in the telephone conference, Claims 21 – 23 are allowable with the said amendments.

CONCLUSION

Based on the remarks above it is felt that the remaining claims are now in condition for allowance.

In the event the Examiner wishes to discuss any aspect of this response, please contact the undersigned at the telephone number identified below.

Respectfully submitted,

by:



Gary K. Price, #45,024

BOWERS HARRISON, LLP
GARY K. PRICE, ESQ
25 N W RIVERSIDE DRIVE
P O BOX 1287
EVANSVILLE, IN 47706-1287
TELEPHONE: (812) 426-1231
FACSIMILE: (812) 464-3676
Dated: March 8, 2005

M:\DOCS\PUBLIC\64261\MISC\293097.doc
11590.002